RTICLE IN PRESS

Social Science & Medicine xxx (2015) 1-5



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Social Science & Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/socscimed



Short communication

Happiness and longevity in the United States

Elizabeth M. Lawrence a, *, Richard G. Rogers b, Tim Wadsworth b

- a University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, United States
- ^b University of Colorado Boulder, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 June 2015 Received in revised form 11 August 2015 Accepted 13 September 2015 Available online xxx

Keywords: Happiness Mortality Life expectancy Survival Longevity GSS-NDI

ABSTRACT

This is the first study to our knowledge to examine the relationship between happiness and longevity among a nationally representative sample of adults. We use the recently-released General Social Survey-National Death Index dataset and Cox proportional hazards models to reveal that overall happiness is related to longer lives among U.S. adults. Indeed, compared to very happy people, the risk of death over the follow-up period is 6% (95% CI 1.01-1.11) higher among individuals who are pretty happy and 14% (95% CI 1.06-1.22) higher among those who are not happy, net of marital status, socioeconomic status, census division, and religious attendance. This study provides support for happiness as a stand-alone indicator of well-being that should be used more widely in social science and health research.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Academic interest in the study of happiness has burgeoned over the past twenty years. Research has established patterns of happiness across personal characteristics and behaviors, such as income (Easterlin, 1973, 2001, 2003; Graham and Pettinato, 2002), marital status (Haring-Hidore et al., 1985; Veenhoven, 1994; Wadsworth, 2015), educational achievement (Blanchflower and Oswald, 2004), religious faith (Witter et al., 1985), physical health (Dolan et al., 2008), and sexual activity (Blanchflower and Oswald, 2004; Wadsworth, 2014). In addition to examining the causes of happiness, researchers are increasingly turning their focus to the consequences of happiness, particularly on health and mortality, the focus of this paper.

Extant research suggests that being happy may be associated with better health and longevity (Diener and Chan, 2011; Veenhoven, 2008). However, many of the studies supporting these relationships utilized small, geographically specific, and often age-limited samples (Veenhoven, 2008). For example, drawing on data from the Nun Study (a longitudinal study of over 600 Catholic

E-mail address: lizlaw@unc.edu (E.M. Lawrence).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.09.020

0277-9536/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

nuns of the School Sisters of Notre Dame), Danner et al. (2001) found that the use of positive emotional language to describe early life experiences in short autobiographies written when the nuns were in their early twenties correlated strongly with longevity fifty to seventy years later. In looking at the writings of over 800 Mayo Clinic patients, Maruta et al. (2000) reached similar findings: subjects who described life events using more optimistic language were more likely to survive the thirty-year follow-up period.

A number of physiological mechanisms might explain findings such as these. Happiness appears to be inversely related to perceived stress (Schiffrin and Nelson, 2010) and may protect against illness through better immune response (Veenhoven, 2008). Happy people typically enjoy better health outcomes because they demonstrate more successful adaptation; better problem-solving skills and coping strategies; more creative, imaginative, and integrative thinking; greater resilience; and a greater ability to deal with adversity (Fredrickson, 2003; Ostir et al., 2006). But, as noted, the studies conducted this far have used small, restricted samples, and thus cannot be applied to populations generally. Much of the extant work has also failed to control for important factors that may influence both happiness and longevity.

In particular, we do not yet know the extent to which the potential health benefits of happiness are due to socioeconomic or social resources. Higher levels of subjective well-being are also related to increased success in a variety of domains, including social

^{*} Corresponding author. Carolina Population Center, 206 W. Franklin Street, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27516, United States.

relations and economic stability. Perhaps the most consistent finding has been that happy people usually have stronger social support networks as evidenced by more friends (Staw et al., 1994) and a higher likelihood of marriage (Lucas et al., 2003; Marks and Fleming, 1999). Happier people also tend to make more money. While it may be argued that higher income can also lead to happiness, Marks and Fleming (1999) and Diener and Seligman (2002) found that happiness or cheerfulness at time one significantly increased earnings at time two, up to fifteen years later. It may be that these socioeconomic and social resources explain why happier people have better health. But a recent study shows the effect of happiness on self-rated health is largely independent of marital status, education, income, and socioeconomic resources (Zajacova and Dowd, 2014). Sabatini (2014) also finds that the effect of happiness on health is independent of socioeconomic status among Italians. However, no study has examined these relationships and processes among U.S. adults. In the current work we analyze the relationship between happiness and mortality among a large nationally representative group of U.S. adults while controlling for a variety of economic, social, and demographic factors.

2. Methods

2.1. Data

We use the General Social Survey-National Death Index (GSS-NDI) dataset, which links GSS waves from 1978 to 2002 to mortality information from the NDI through 2008 (Muennig et al., 2011a; NORC, 2011). The GSS represents a national sample of English-speaking noninstitutionalized individuals ages 18 and over, and provides a wealth of information on their behaviors and attitudes. Over the 18 waves, the GSS survey response rates ranged from 70% to 82% (Muennig et al., 2011b).

The GSS-NDI sample includes 32,830 individuals, 9271 of whom died over the follow-up period. Of this original sample, 32,779 individuals have complete and valid information on age, mortality status, and, for those who died during the follow-up period, age at death. Of this second group, 1298 individuals are missing information on general happiness because one version of the 2002 survey did not administer the general happiness question; half of the 2002 sample received the question (1285 individuals) and half did not (1298 individuals). These individuals who did not receive the happiness question are listwise deleted, leaving a sample of 31,481 people.

2.2. Measures

Our outcome is risk of death over time. Those dying in the follow-up are coded 1 and those surviving to 2008 coded 0. Mortality is an especially important outcome because it is a highly valued measure of health, is accurately measured and reported, is not plagued with some of the problems related to self-reported health measures, and does not suffer biases associated with reverse causality. Because mortality is a rare event, we follow convention and pool multiple years of the GSS and use a long follow-up period. Long follow-up periods are valuable for outcome variables such as mortality, which may take several years to manifest (see, for example, Peterson et al., 1988). To link mortality information to the respondents, the NDI uses a matching algorithm based on a set of identifiers, such as social security number and first and last name (Muennig et al., 2011a).

Our main independent variable of interest is *happiness*. Each wave of the GSS asks the following question: "Taken all together, how would you say things are these days—would you say that you are very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy?" We coded the

response categories for this variable into dummy variables, with very happy omitted as the reference group. The distribution of the response categories for this variable is fairly consistent across time.

It is important to determine whether the relationship between happiness and mortality persists even with controls for other factors, such as sex, race, marital status, socioeconomic status, region, and religiosity. As such, all multivariate models control for *sex*, with female as the referent, and *race*, with white as the referent. *Marital status* is coded as widowed, divorced, or never married, with married as the referent.

Educational attainment, income, and employment status are three classic measures of socioeconomic status. Educational attainment is recoded to four categories: the reference group of less than high school, high school diploma, more than high school, and college degree or higher. The categorical income variable represents whether the ratio of one's income to the threshold of poverty is below 100% (referent), 100–199%, 200–299%, or 300% or above. The income-to-needs ratios were calculated by recoding the categorical income variable to its midpoint, and then dividing that value by the U.S. Census threshold for poverty for the specific year and household size. Employment status is coded into eight different categories, with the reference group of full-time workers compared to part-time workers, those temporarily not working, unemployed individuals, retirees, students, those keeping house, and individuals reporting other employment status.

The categorical *division* variable represents nine U.S. census divisions: New England, Middle Atlantic, East North Central, West North Central, South Atlantic, East South Central, West South Central, Pacific, and Mountain (the referent and the division with the smallest percentage of deaths). *Religious involvement* is indicated through four categories of religious attendance, defined in accordance with previous studies (Hummer et al., 1999): never attending religious services, attending services less than once a week, attending services more than once a week (referent).

2.3. Analytic strategy

To determine the relationship between happiness and the risk of death, we use Cox proportional hazards models. The Cox models use age at interview as the time variable, and the duration is calculated as the time from the interview to death or 2008, the end of the follow-up period. The inclusion of this duration controls for variation in the amount of time between the respondent's report of happiness and his or her death or the end of the follow-up period. We use the Efron method for handling failure ties, which produces more accurate approximations of the exact marginal (Hertz-Picciotti and Rockhill, 1997).

We use multiple imputation to handle item missingness. No individuals were missing on gender, race, employment status, division, or the GSS variable representing whether an individual was part of an oversample. Four values were imputed for marital status, 271 values for happiness, 73 for education, 2989 for income-to-needs, and 392 for religious attendance. We used a fully conditional specification approach, creating 10 datasets. All independent and dependent variables informed imputation, as well as an auxiliary variable representing if the individual grew up with two biological parents.

We first look at the relationship between general happiness and mortality, starting with a base model that includes happiness categories, sex, and race (and accounts for age within the duration variable), and then add other covariates to determine their effect on mortality and the influence of happiness net of these other factors. All models include the oversampling variable provided by the GSS, making the sample nationally representative.

E.M. Lawrence et al. / Social Science & Medicine xxx (2015) 1-5

Robustness Checks. We obtained similar results with different follow-up periods, including right censoring at 15 and 20 years of follow-up, and with more recent samples that eliminated the first 5 or 10 years of survey respondents. Tests of proportionality indicate that the variable of interest exhibits proportional hazards, which satisfies a central assumption of hazards analysis. Further, terms interacting happiness with birth cohort or year of interview produced no significant values. Prior research has established patterns of happiness across ages, periods, and cohorts (Blanchflower and Oswald, 2008; Yang, 2008), but the effect of happiness on mortality does not appear to differ across the life course. Overall, these sensitivity tests demonstrate that the results presented here are stable and robust.

3. Results

Table 1 shows general happiness by demographic, social, economic, and health characteristics. About a third (31.4%) of the adult population is very happy, over half (56.9%) is pretty happy, and 11.6% is not happy. Individuals who report being very happy are more common among those who are older, white, married, and more educated; those with higher incomes; and those who attend religious services. Yet, even in disadvantaged groups, only small proportions report being not happy. For example, among those in poverty (income-to-needs is less than 100%), 21.2% report they are not happy. Because these descriptive statistics do not control for other covariates, we turn to the multivariate models in Table 2.

Table 2 demonstrates a strong graded relationship between happiness and the risk of death. Compared to those who are very happy, those who are pretty happy have 7% higher risk of death (hazards ratio [HR] = 1.07), and those who are not happy are 21% more likely to die over the follow-up period, when sex and race are controlled (Model 1). The relationship between happiness and mortality attenuates slightly but remains significant with controls for marital status (Model 2), socioeconomic status (education, income, and employment [Model 3]), geographical divisions (Model 4), and religious attendance (Model 5). The largest reduction in the increased mortality risk for those not happy occurs with the addition of the socioeconomic variables (compare Models 2 and 3).

Because happiness is strongly related to marital status (Haring-Hidore et al., 1985; Veenhoven, 1994; Wadsworth, 2015), we tested for but did not find a significant interactive effect of happiness and marital status on mortality. We found no significant interactions between happiness and each of the other covariates—sex, race, education, income, employment, census divisions, and religious attendance—on mortality. We also examined whether the results were robust to health status through running the same models on a subsample of individuals who reported good or excellent health. In these models, the "pretty happy" hazard ratio does not differ significantly from one and the ratios for "not happy" are attenuated compared to the models presented here. These results suggest that happiness and health status are correlated, but further interpretation is unclear because the measures were collected at the same time and self-rated health can reflect a wide variety of conditions. Additionally, statistical power is reduced because we omit those who report fair or poor health and those who are not asked about self-rated health, resulting in a sample size 55% of the original sample.

4. Discussion

Happy people live longer. Compared to very happy people, the risk of death over the follow-up period is 6% higher among those who are pretty happy and 14% higher among those who are not happy (Table 2, Model 5), even after controlling for an array of

Table 1 Percentages of happiness level by subgroup among U.S. adults, 1978-2002 (N = 31,481).

	General happiness					
	Very happy	Pretty happy	Not happy			
Overall population	31.4%	56.9%	11.6%			
Age						
18-44	29.4%	59.4%	11.2%			
45-64	32.9%	54.9%	12.2%			
65 and above	35.6%	52.5%	11.9%			
Sex						
Male	31.3%	57.5%	11.1%			
Female	31.5%	56.5%	12.0%			
Race						
White	33.2%	56.8%	10.0%			
Black	21.6%	58.3%	20.1%			
Other race	29.9%	55.4%	14.7%			
Marital Status						
Married	40.2%	52.9%	6.9%			
Widowed	24.7%	57.2%	18.2%			
Divorced	18.7%	62.0%	19.3%			
Never married	22.8%	62.9%	14.3%			
Education						
Less than High School	28.3%	53.9%	17.7%			
High School	30.2%	58.5%	11.3%			
Some college	31.2%	58.9%	9.9%			
College degree	37.0%	55.7%	7.4%			
Employment						
Full time	31.5%	59.3%	9.2%			
Part time	30.4%	58.4%	11.2%			
Temp not working	28.2%	57.9%	13.9%			
Unemployed, laid off	18.5%	52.7%	28.8%			
Retired	34.7%	53.1%	12.2%			
School	29.7%	58.6%	11.7%			
Keeping house	33.7%	52.4%	13.9%			
Other	20.5%	50.8%	28.8%			
Income-to-needs						
Less than 100%	22.0%	56.7%	21.2%			
100-199%	29.2%	57.8%	13.0%			
200-299%	34.6%	57.3%	8.1%			
300% or more	36.0%	55.7%	8.3%			
Census division						
New England	32.0%	58.4%	10.0%			
Middle Atlantic	27.9%	57.9%	14.1%			
East North Central	30.8%	57.0%	12.2%			
West North Central	31.3%	60.8%	7.9%			
South Atlantic	33.5%	54.9%	11.6%			
East South Central	33.6%	54.5%	11.9%			
West South Central	31.7%	56.4%	11.9%			
Mountain	31.7%	58.1%	10.2%			
Pacific	31.5%	56.8%	11.6%			
Religious attendance						
Never	25.1%	58.0%	16.9%			
Less than once a week	28.7%	59.5%	11.8%			
Once a week	38.3%	53.5%	8.2%			
Greater than once a week	46.3%	45.1%	8.6%			
	.0.0,0	101170	0.0.0			

Source: GSS-NDI

demographic, socioeconomic, and lifestyle-related factors. Happiness is related to other risk factors, including social relations, socioeconomic status, census division, and religious attendance, and its effect on life expectancy may operate in part through stronger social relationships and increased socioeconomic status (Fredrickson, 2003; Ostir et al., 2006). Happiness appears to permeate through all walks of life, perhaps in reciprocal relationships with these other factors. For example, marriage selects happy people and then provides social support and material resources that further contribute to happiness (Carr and Springer, 2010; Lucas et al., 2003; Marks and Fleming, 1999).

Happiness is also independent of other factors. The increased mortality risk for less happy individuals attenuated somewhat but remained significant. Further, we found no significant interactions between happiness and the other covariates. That is, mortality risk

Table 2 Hazard ratios of happiness and the risk of death for U.S. adults, 1978-2008 (N = 31,481).

	Model 1		Model 2	Model 2		Model 3		Model 4		Model 5	
General Happiness (very)											
Pretty happy	1.07	**	1.07	**	1.06	*	1.07	**	1.06	*	
Not happy	1.21	***	1.20	***	1.15	***	1.15	***	1.14	**	
Covariates											
Male	1.39	***	1.39	***	1.44	***	1.44	***	1.43	***	
Race (white)											
Black	1.39	***	1.38	***	1.31	***	1.30	***	1.31	***	
Other race	1.06	***	1.06		1.04		1.03		1.04		
Marital Status (married)											
Widowed			1.03		1.00		1.00		1.00		
Divorced			0.99		0.97		0.97		0.96		
Never married			1.13	**	1.12	**	1.13	**	1.12	**	
Income to needs (less than 100	0%)										
100–199%	,				0.91	**	0.91	**	0.92	*	
200–299%					0.88	**	0.88	**	0.88	**	
300% or more					0.92	*	0.92	*	0.92	*	
Education (less than HS)					0.02		0.02		0.02		
High School					0.97		0.97		0.98		
Some college					0.94	*	0.93	*	0.94	+	
College degree					0.84	***	0.85	***	0.85	***	
Employment (full time)					0.0 .		0.00		0.00		
Part time					1.02		1.02		1.03		
Temp not working					1.15	+	1.15	+	1.15	+	
Unemployed, laid off					1.11	'	1.11	'	1.11	'	
Retired					1.07	*	1.07	*	1.07	*	
School					1.06		1.07		1.07		
Keeping house					1.11	**	1.11	**	1.11	**	
Other					1.55	***	1.55	***	1.55	***	
Census Division (Mountain)					1.55		1.55		1.55		
New England							0.97		0.97		
Middle Atlantic							1.06		1.06		
East North Central							1.14	*	1.14	*	
West North Central							1.00		1.00		
South Atlantic							1.11	+	1.11	*	
East South Central							1.07		1.08		
West South Central							1.16	*	1.16	*	
Pacific							1.15	*	1.14	*	
Religious Attendance (>once a	week)						1.13		1.17		
Never	wcck)								1.09		
Less than once a week									1.09	+	
Once a week									0.99		
GSS oversample	0.91		0.91		0.90		0.91		0.92		
G55 Oversample	0.31		0.31		0.30		0.31		0.32		

Notes: Referent is listed in parentheses. ***p < .001; **p < .01; *p < .05; +p < .10.

Source: GSS-NDI

is lower for happy than unhappy individuals, and for married than unmarried individuals, but there is no additional longevity benefit for people who are both happy and married. Happiness thus appears to capture a meaningful subjective perspective on well-being that cannot be accounted for with more objective indicators of socioeconomic and social resources.

The GSS-NDI is a wonderful new prospective dataset that provides a rich set of variables. Because this cross-sectional dataset does not collect information on time-varying covariates, future studies could use other data sources to examine whether changes in happiness are associated with the risk of death. Still, we find that the level of happiness reported at the time of the interview is related to the risk of death years later.

This study provides support for happiness as a stand-alone indicator of well-being that can be used in more social science and health research. Future research should seek to distinguish when and how happiness improves health and longevity. For example, identifying associations between more specific conceptualizations of psychological functioning and mortality may reveal the processes through which well-being shapes health (or health shapes well-being). Further, while we did not find differences in the influence of happiness on mortality, there may be other processes

that differ. For example, Muennig et al. (2013) find that existential satisfaction explains some of the income—mortality relationship for women but not men.

Economists are concerned with economic security, criminologists with safety and violence prevention, and public health advocates with unhealthy behaviors. We miss an important variable if we overlook happiness. Higher incomes, crime-free neighborhoods, and improved public health programs may provide security, safety, and reduced disease, but they do not necessarily engender happiness. In addition to improving a population's economic standard of living, access to medical care, and healthy behaviors, policymakers should consider ways to make people happy, which may involve more community engagement; more city beautification projects; ways to help people manage stress and to relax; and encouraging strong, lasting, positive social ties among friends, neighbors, and families, including spouses. Happiness may provide a route toward more enjoyable and longer lives.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)-funded University of Colorado Population Center (Award Number R24HD066613) for administrative and computing support; Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, and NORC at the University of Chicago for collecting the data and making the linked files available to the research public; and Nancy Mann for insightful edits and comments on an earlier draft of this paper. The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NICHD or the National Institutes. An earlier version of this paper was presented at the annual meeting of the Southern Demographic Association on October 12, 2012 in Williamsburg, Virginia.

References

- Blanchflower, D.G., Oswald, A.J., 2004. Well-being over time in Britain and the USA.

 J. Public Econ. 88 (7–8), 1359–1386. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727(02)
- Blanchflower, D.G., Oswald, A.J., 2008. Is well-being U-shaped over the life cycle? Soc. Sci. Med. 66 (8), 1733–1749.
- Carr, D., Springer, K.W., 2010. Advances in families and health research in the 21st century. J. Marriage Fam. 72 (3), 743–761. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2010.00728 x
- Danner, D.D., Snowdon, D.A., Friesen, W.V., 2001. Positive emotions in early life and longevity: findings from the nun study. J. Personal. Soc. Psychol. 80 (5), 804–813. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.5.804.
- Diener, E., Chan, M.Y., 2011. Happy people live longer: subjective well-being contributes to health and longevity. Appl. Psychol. Health Well Being 3 (1), 1–43.
- Diener, E., Seligman, M.E.P., 2002. Very happy people. Psychol. Sci. 13 (1), 81–84. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00415.
- Dolan, P., Peasgood, T., White, M., 2008. Do we really know what makes us happy? A review of the economic literature on the factors associated with subjective well-being. J. Econ. Psychol. 29 (1), 94–122. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2007.09.001.
- Easterlin, R.A., 1973. Does money buy happiness? Public Interest 30 (10).
- Easterlin, R.A., 2001. Income and happiness: towards a unified theory. Econ. J. 111 (473), 465–484. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1468-0297.00646.
- Easterlin, R.A., 2003. Explaining happiness. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100 (19), 11176–11183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1633144100.
- Fredrickson, B., 2003. The value of positive emotions. Am. Sci. 91 (4) http://dx.doi.org/10.1511/2003.4.330.
- Graham, C., Pettinato, S., 2002. Frustrated achievers: winners, losers and subjective well-being in new market economies. J. Dev. Stud. 38 (4), 100–140. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00220380412331322431.
- Haring-Hidore, M., Stock, W.A., Okun, M.A., Witter, R.A., 1985. Marital status and subjective well-being: a research synthesis. J. Marriage Fam. 47 (4), 947–953. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/352338.
- Hertz-Picciotto, I., Rockhill, B., 1997. Validity and efficiency of approximation methods for tied survival times in Cox regression. Biometrics 1151–1156.
- Hummer, R., Rogers, R., Nam, C., Ellison, C., 1999. Religious involvement and U.S.

- adult mortality. Demography 36 (2), 273–285. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/
- Lucas, R.E., Clark, A.E., Georgellis, Y., Diener, E., 2003. Reexamining adaptation and the set point model of happiness: reactions to changes in marital status. J. Personal. Soc. Psychol. 84 (3), 527–539. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.3.527.
- Marks, G.N., Fleming, N., 1999. Influences and consequences of well-being among Australian young people: 1980–1995. Soc. Indic. Res. 46 (3), 301–323. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1006928507272.
- Maruta, T., Colligan, R.C., Malinchoc, M., Offord, K.P.C.M.C.P., 2000. Optimists vs Pessimists: Survival Rate Among Medical Patients over a 30-year Period.
- Muennig, P., Johnson, G., Kim, J., Smith, T.W., Rosen, Z., 2011a. The general social survey-national death index: an innovative new dataset for the social sciences. BMC Res. Notes 4 (1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-4-385.
- Muennig, P., Kuebler, M., Kim, J., Todorovic, D., Rosen, Z., 2013. Gender differences in material, psychological, and social domains of the income gradient in mortality: implications for policy. PloS One 8 (3).
- Muennig, P., Rosen, Z., Blaylock, T., Johnson, G., Smith, T., Kim, J., 2011b. GSS-NDI Codebook: Program in Cost-Effectiveness and Outcomes (PCFO)
- National Opinion Research, C, 2011. GSS-NDI 1978—2002. Retrieved from: http://www3.norc.org/GSS+Website/Download/.
- Ostir, G.V., Berges, I.M., Markides, K.S., Ottenbacher, K.J., 2006. Hypertension in older adults and the role of positive emotions. Psychosom. Med. 68 (5), 727–733. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.psy.0000234028.93346.38.
- Peterson, C., Seligman, M.E., Vaillant, G.E., 1988. Pessimistic explanatory style is a risk factor for physical illness: a thirty-five-year longitudinal study. J. Personal. Soc. Psychol. 55 (1), 23–27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.55.1.23.
- Sabatini, F., 2014. The relationship between happiness and health: evidence from Italy. Soc. Sci. Med. 114, 178–187.
- Schiffrin, H., Nelson, S., 2010. Stressed and happy? Investigating the relationship between happiness and perceived stress. J. Happiness Stud. 11 (1), 33–39. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10902-008-9104-7.
- Staw, B.M., Sutton, R.I., Pelled, L.H., 1994. Employee positive emotion and favorable outcomes at the workplace. Organ. Sci. 51–71.
- Veenhoven, R., 1994. World Database of Happiness: Correlates of Happiness: 7837 Findings from 603 Studies in 69 Nations 1911–1994, vols. 1–3. Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- Veenhoven, R., 2008. Healthy happiness: effects of happiness on physical health and the consequences for preventive health care. J. Happiness Stud. 9 (3) http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10902-006-9042-1.
- Wadsworth, T., 2015. Marriage and Subjective Well-being: How and Why Context Matters. Social Indicators Research. Online First.
- Wadsworth, T., 2014. Sex and the pursuit of happiness: how other people's sex lives are related to our sense of well-being. Soc. Indic. Res. 116, 115–135.
- Witter, R.A., Stock, W.A., Okun, M.A., Haring, M.J., 1985. Religion and subjective well-being in adulthood: a quantitative synthesis. Rev. Relig. Res. 26 (4), 332–342. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3511048.
- Yang, Y., 2008. Social inequalities in happiness in the United States, 1972 to 2004: an age-period-cohort analysis. Am. Sociol. Rev. 73 (2), 204–226.
- Zajacova, A., Dowd, J.B., 2014. Happiness and health among US working adults: is the association explained by socio-economic status? Public Health 9 (128), 849–851.